

BERLIN 2005

ERDŐS MAGIC

Erdős 1947: If $\binom{n}{k} 2^{1-\binom{k}{2}} < 1$ there **exists** a two coloring of the edges of K_n with no monochromatic K_k .

Proof: Color Randomly!

Theorem (Turán). Any graph G has an independent set S with

$$|S| \geq \sum_{v \in G} \frac{1}{d_v + 1}$$

Randomized Algorithm

- Order Vertices Randomly.
- Place v in S greedily.

If v comes before its neighbors then it goes into S .

$$\Pr[v \in S] \geq \frac{1}{d_v + 1}$$

Linearity of Expectation:

$$E[|S|] = \sum_{v \in G} \Pr[v \in S] \geq \sum_{v \in G} \frac{1}{d_v + 1}$$

Erdős Magic: Such S **MUST** exist.

$$|A_i| = n, 1 \leq i \leq m = 2^{n-1}k$$

Seek Red/Blue χ with no A_i monochromatic

Erdős [1963]: $k < 1 \Rightarrow \exists \chi$

Beck [1978]: $k < cn^{1/3} \Rightarrow \exists \chi$

Radhakrishnan-Srinivasan[2000]

$$k < c[n/\ln n]^{1/2} \Rightarrow \exists \chi$$

Erdős [1964]: There exists family with $k = cn^2$

with no χ

Coloring Algorithm(s)

1. Color Randomly
2. Order Vertices Randomly.
3. Consider sequentially. If v “still dangerous” switch $\chi(v)$ with probability p

Still Dangerous: $v \in A_i$ which has *always* been monochromatic

FAIL: Some A_i monochromatic at end

Erdős Magic: If $\Pr[FAIL] < 1$ χ **MUST** exist

Two Failure Modes

FAILI: A_i was “Red” and stayed Red

FAILII: A_i wasn't Red and became Red

$$\Pr[FAILI(A_i)] = 2^{1-n}(1-p)^n$$

$$\Pr[FAILI] \leq (2^{n-1}k)(2^{1-n}(1-p)^n) = k(1-p)^n$$

A_i blames A_j if

- $A_i \cap A_j = \{v\}$
- A_j Blue at start
- A_i Red at end
- v **LAST** point of A_i to change
- When v reached A_j all Blue

Theorem:

If FAILII then some A_i blames some A_j

Corollary:

$$\Pr[\text{FAILII}] \leq \sum_{i \neq j} \Pr[A_i \text{ blames } A_j]$$

Bounding $\Pr[A_i \text{ blames } A_j]$

Fix ordering.

- Factor 2 for Red/Blue symmetry
- v Blue and Flips: $p/2$
- $w \in A_j$ after v : $1/2$
- $u \in A_i$ after v : $1/2$
- $w \in A_j$ before v : $1/2 - p/2$
- $u \in A_i$ before v : $1/2 + p/2$

I : Number of $w \in A_j$ before v

J : Number of $u \in A_i$ before v

$$\Pr[A_i \text{ blames } A_j | I, J] = 2^{2-2n} p(1+p)^{|I|} (1-p)^{|J|}$$

A Bad Gamble

$n - 1$ Red Cards, $n - 1$ Blue Cards, Joker

Shuffle. Start with 1000 Euro

Red: Multiply funds by $1 + p$

Blue: Multiply funds by $1 - p$

Joker: Cash In.

Theorem: Expectation less than initial

Corollary

$$\Pr[A_i \text{ blames } A_j] \leq 2^{2-2n} p$$

Corollary

$$\Pr[FAILII] \leq (2^{n-1} k)^2 2^{2-2n} p = k^2 p$$

Asymptotic Calculus

$$\Pr[FAIL] < k(1-p)^n + k^2p$$

Erdős Magic: If for some $p \in [0, 1]$

$$k(1-p)^n + k^2p < 1 \quad (*)$$

then χ **MUST** exist.

What is $\max k = k(n)$ so that (*) holds for some $p \in [0, 1]$?

Answer: $k \sim c\sqrt{n/\ln n}$

Liar Game

Paul seeks $x \in \{1, \dots, 100\}$.

Ten Queries. Carole may lie once.

Theorem: Carole Wins!

Carole plays randomly

At end of game:

$$\Pr[x \text{ possible}] = \frac{11}{1024}$$

Expected number of possible $100 \frac{11}{1024} > 1$

When > 1 possible Carole wins

Carole sometimes wins

Erdős Magic: Carole always wins!

Counting Connected Graphs and the Giant/Dominant Component

Joint with

Remco van der Hofstad (EURRANDOM)

Nitin Arora (Courant)

Complexity = $E - V + 1$

$C(k, l)$ = Number of

CONNECTED Labelled Graphs

k Vertices

Complexity l

$C(k, 0) = k^{k-2}$ Cayley

$C(k, l) \sim c_l k^{3l/2} k^{k-2}$ Wright

$l > (\frac{1}{2} + \epsilon)k \ln k$ Erdős-Rényi

$k, l \rightarrow \infty$ Bender, Canfield, McKay

The Łuczak Gem

X = number of (k, l) components in $G(n, p)$

$$E[X] = C(k, l) \binom{n}{k} p^{k+l-1} (1-p)^{k(n-k) + \binom{k}{2} - (k+l-1)}$$

$X \leq \frac{n}{k}$ tautologically

$$C(k, l) \leq \frac{n}{k} \left[\binom{n}{k} p^{k+l-1} (1-p)^{k(n-k) + \binom{k}{2} - (k+l-1)} \right]^{-1}$$

for all n, p .

Minimize using Asymptotic Calculus!

If $l = \Theta(k)$, $p \sim cn^{-1}$, $c > 1$.

Pick n, p so Giant most likely (k, l)

$E[X] = \Omega(n^{-2})$ (even better)

$C(k, l)$ within n^2 Factor

Tilted Balls in Bins

$k - 1$ balls, k bins, $p \in (0, 1]$

Truncated Geometric

Ball j in Bin T_j

$$\Pr[T_j = i] = \frac{p(1-p)^{i-1}}{1 - (1-p)^k}$$

Z_i balls in bin i

$Y_0 = 1$, $Y_i = Y_{i-1} + Z_i - 1$ (so $Y_k = 0$)

TREE: $Y_t > 0$, $0 \leq t < k$

$$M := \sum_{i=0}^k (Y_i - 1) = \binom{k}{2} - \sum_{j=1}^k T_j$$

$G(k, p)$ Random Graph

Vertices $0, 1, \dots, k - 1$

Adjacency Prob p

THM: Prob $G(k, p)$ Connected & Complexity l

$$= C(k, l)p^{k+l-1}(1-p)^{k(k-1)/2-k-l+1} = A_1A_2A_3$$

with

$$A_1 = (1 - (1 - p)^k)^{k-1}$$

$$A_2 = \Pr[\text{TREE}]$$

$$A_3 = \Pr[\text{BIN}[M, p] = l | \text{TREE}]$$

Strategy: A_2, A_3 determine $C(k, l)$

Breadth First Search

1	2	3	4	5
N	N	Y	Y	N
N	N	-	-	N
Y	N	-	-	N
-	Y	-	-	Y
-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-

$$T_3 = T_4 = 1, T_1 = 3, T_2 = T_5 = 4$$

A_1 : All T_j defined

$$\vec{Z} = (2, 0, 1, 2, 0, 0)$$

$$\text{Walk } \vec{Y} = (1, 2, 1, 1, 2, 1, 0)$$

TREE: BFS doesn't terminate early

Tree Edges 03, 04, 41, 12, 15

$M = 2$ Unexposed 34, 25

Setting the Tilt p

$$\mu := E[M], \sigma^2 := \text{Var}[M]$$

$$p\mu = l$$

Three Regimes

$$\text{Small } l = o(k), k^{-3/2} \ll p \ll k^{-1}$$

$$\text{Large } l = \Theta(k), p = \Theta(k^{-1})$$

$$\text{Very Large } l \gg k, p \gg k^{-1}$$

$$(l > ck \ln k; p > c' \frac{\ln k}{k} \text{ Erdős-Rényi})$$

Small: $k^{-3/2} \ll p \ll k^{-1}$

$$\epsilon = \frac{1}{2}pk$$

Left Z_i Poisson $1 + \epsilon$

Galton-Watson $\Pr[\text{ESC}] \sim 2\epsilon$

Right $Z_i^* = Z_{k-i}; Y_i^* = Y_{k-i}$

$$Y_0^* = 0, Y_i^* = Y_{i-1}^* + 1 - Z_i^*$$

Z_i^* Poisson $1 - \epsilon$

$\Pr[\text{ESC}^*] \sim \epsilon$

*** Scaling for ESC, ESC* is $\epsilon^{-2} \ll k$

$\Pr[\text{TREE}] \sim \Pr[\text{ESC}] \Pr[\text{ESC}^*] \sim 2\epsilon^2$

$$\text{Large: } p \sim \frac{c}{k}$$

$$\text{Left } Z_i \text{ Poisson } \frac{c}{1-e^{-c}}$$

$$\text{Galton-Watson } \Pr[\text{ESC}] \sim 1 - e^{-c}$$

$$\text{Right } Z_i^* = Z_{k-i}; Y_i^* = Y_{k-i}$$

$$Y_0^* = 0, Y_i^* = Y_{i-1}^* + 1 - Z_i^*$$

$$Z_i^* \text{ Poisson } \frac{ce^{-c}}{1-e^{-c}}$$

$$\Pr[\text{ESC}^*] \sim 1 - \frac{ce^{-c}}{1-e^{-c}}$$

Chernoff: $Y_i > 0$ in middle

$$\Pr[\text{TREE}] \sim \Pr[\text{ESC}] \Pr[\text{ESC}^*] \rightarrow 1 - (c+1)e^{-c}$$

$$\text{Very Large } p \gg k^{-1}$$

Chernoff: $Y_i > 0$ all i

$$\Pr[\text{TREE}] \rightarrow 1$$

Gaussian M

$$M := \binom{k}{2} - \sum_{j=1}^k T_j$$

Esseen: $\Pr[M < \mu + u\sigma] \rightarrow \Pr[N(0, 1) < u]$

*** Still holds *conditional on TREE*

Hardest when p barely $\gg k^{-3/2}$

Easy when p Large

Trivial when p Very Large

From CLT to Local Stats

$$E[W_k] = \mu_k, \text{Var}[W_k] = \sigma_k^2, V_k = \text{BIN}[W_k, p_k],$$

$$l_k = \mu_k p_k = E[V_k]$$

$$\text{Assume } ** p_k^2 \sigma_k^2 = O(p_k \mu_k) **$$

$$(\sigma_k^+)^2 := p_k^2 \sigma_k^2 + p_k \mu_k$$

$$\text{Assume } \sigma_k^{-1}(W_k - \mu_k) \rightarrow N(0, 1)$$

THM: V_k Local CLT, Mean l_k , Var $(\sigma_k^+)^2$

$$\Pr[V_k = l_k] \rightarrow \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma_k^+}}$$

Apply to $M|\text{TREE}$

$$A_3 = \Pr[BIN[M, p] = l | TREE]$$

Small: $p\mu = l = p^2\sigma^2$, $A_3 \sim (4\pi l)^{-1/2}$

Very Large: $p\mu = l \gg p^2\sigma^2$, $A_3 \sim (2\pi l)^{-1/2}$

Large: $p \sim \frac{c}{k}$. $p^2\sigma^2 = \Theta(l)$, $A_3 \sim g(c)l^{-1/2}$

The Giant/Dominant Component

$G(n, p)$

$p = \frac{c}{n}$, $c > 1$ Erdős-Rényi Giant

$p = \frac{1}{n} + \lambda n^{-4/3}$, $\lambda \rightarrow +\infty$

Supercritical: Dominant Component

THM: Probability $C(v)$ has k vertices, complexity l is $\sim A_1^* A_2 A_3$ with

$$A_1^* = \Pr[\text{BIN}[n-1, 1 - (1-p)^k] = k-1]$$

Corollary: Local Stats for k, l of Giant/Dominant Component. Correlated Gaussians

(Caution: Work in Progress!)

Generating Random Connected Graph

Time $\Theta(K + L)$ (!!) for $L = \Omega(\ln K)$

p with $p\mu = L$

Tilted Balls into Boxes

$L = \Omega(K)$ get BFS Tree with prob. $\Omega(1)$

$L = o(K)$ use Fast Abort.

Add precisely L of M unexposed with prob.

$$\frac{\Pr[\text{BIN}[M, p] = L]}{\max_m \Pr[\text{BIN}[m, p] = L]}$$

Games Mathematicians Play

Paul versus Carole

N Possibilities

Q Yes/No Paul Queries

K (or fewer) Carole Lies

Try it with $N = 100$, $Q = 10$, $K = 1$

Carole plays Adversary Strategy

⇒ Perfect Information

⇒ Winning Strategy for Paul or Carole

$B_K(Q) =$ maximal N so that Paul Wins

Theorem:

$$B_K(Q) \sim \frac{2^Q}{\binom{Q}{K}}$$

Carole Strategy

Notation

$$\binom{Q}{\leq K} = \sum_{I=0}^K \binom{Q}{I}$$

Theorem: $N\left(\binom{Q}{\leq K}\right) > 2^Q \Rightarrow \text{Carole Wins}$

Proof 1: Preserve Ministrategies

Proof 2: Random Play

Proof 1 \Rightarrow Proof 2: Derandomization

Paul Strategy

($K = 1$, General Case similar)

Weight = Number Viable Ministrategies

Initial Weight $W_Q > (1 + \epsilon)2^Q$

Paul splits ministrategies as evenly as possible

$$W_{i-1} \leq \frac{1}{2}(W_i + i + 1)$$

(worst case: $(2L + 1, 0)$)

Errors don't accumulate!

When reach $(1, S)$, Endgame

Halfie: No False Negatives

N Possibilities

Q Queries

K Halfies

$A_K(Q) = \text{maximal } N, \text{ Paul Wins}$

Theorem (Cicalese/Mundici): $A_1(Q) \sim 2^{Q+1}/Q$

Dumitriu/JS:

$$A_K(Q) \sim 2^K B_K(Q) \sim 2^K \frac{2^Q}{\binom{Q}{K}}$$

Position $\vec{x} = (x, y) ((x_0, \dots, x_K))$

Paul Query: $(a, b) ((a_0, \dots, a_K))$

Yes $(a, b + x - a)$; No $(x - a, y - b)$

Perfect Split $(\frac{x}{2}, \frac{y}{2} - \frac{x}{4})$

Yes/No $L\vec{x} := (\frac{x}{2}, \frac{y}{2} + \frac{x}{4})$

Problems: Integrality, Nonnegativity

Weight $W_Q(\vec{x}) = L^Q(\vec{x}) \cdot \vec{1}$

$W_Q(x, y) = 2^{-Q}(x(1 + \frac{Q}{2}) + y)$

$2^{-Q}(x_0 p_K(Q) + \dots + x_{K-1}(1 + \frac{Q}{2}) + x_K)$

Paul Strategy

Start $(N, 0)$, $N < (1 - \epsilon)2^{Q+1}/Q$

- Give Ground to (N, N)

$T := \lfloor \lg N \rfloor$

- Roundoff so $2^T | N$
- T perfect splits to $L^T(N\vec{1})$
- Endgame: Win in R from
 $(0, 2^R); (1, 2^R - 1); (2, 2^R - 3); (3, 2^R - 5)$

A Combinatorial Approach

1-Set: Subset of $\{Y, N\}^Q$ with

stem	$YNNYNY$
child	$Y\underline{Y}NNY$
child	$YN\underline{Y}YN$
child	$YNNY\underline{Y}N$

0-Set: Any Singleton

K -Set: Depth K tree with marked "lies."

parent	$Y\underline{Y}NNYN$
child	$Y\underline{Y}N\underline{Y}NN$
grandchild	$Y\underline{Y}N\underline{Y}Y\underline{Y}$

Theorem: Paul Wins from (x_0, \dots, x_K) in Q

\Leftrightarrow Can Pack x_i $K - i$ -Sets in $\{Y, N\}^Q$

Bound Packing of K -Sets

- When all have $\geq L$ N , Size $> \binom{L}{\leq K}$

$$L \sim \frac{Q}{2} \text{ Volume Bound } 2^Q / \binom{Q/2}{K}$$

$$o(2^Q Q^{-K}) \text{ have any } L < (1 - o(1)) \frac{Q}{2}$$

$$A_K(Q) < (1 + o(1)) 2^Q / \binom{Q/2}{K}$$

Careful Cutoff

$$\text{Set } L = \frac{Q}{2} + c\sqrt{Q}\sqrt{\ln Q} Y$$

$$A_K(Q) \leq \frac{2^Q}{\binom{Q/2}{K}} (1 + cQ^{-1/2}\sqrt{\ln Q})$$

Yan/JS: Remove $\sqrt{\ln Q}$

Two Batch Strategy

Deppe/Ahlsvede/Cicalese/Mundici/Dumitriu/JS

$\{Y, N\}^{r^*}$: Number Y within $r^{0.6}$ of $\frac{r}{2}$

$$|\{Y, N\}^{r^*}| \sim 2^r$$

“Assume” $N = |\{Y, N\}^{r^*}| \sim 2^Q / (2Q)$

Associate $\sigma \in \{Y, N\}^{r^*}$ with possibility

Batch 1: $1 \leq i \leq r$: Is $\sigma_i = Y$?

Carole *must* say No about half the time!

Endgame from $(1, \sim \frac{r}{2})$ in One Batch

Arbitrary Channel

T -ary queries

E lie patterns

Example with $T = 3$, $E = 4$

Ternary Answers A/B/C.

Carole may lie B for A, A for B, A or B for C.

Theorem (Dumitriu, JS):

$$A_K^*(Q) \sim \frac{T^K T^Q}{E^K \binom{Q}{K}}$$

Open Question

What is the maximum number $G(R)$ of disjoint 1-Shadows in $\{Y, N\}^R$?

$$\frac{2^R}{R+1} \leq G(R)$$

$$G(R) \leq 2 \frac{2^R}{R} (1 + o(1))$$

Asymptotic Factor of Two Gap.

Jim Propp's
Random Walk
Simulator

The P -Machine on Z

Initially: Arbitrary chips on even positions

Every position x has “arrow” $\epsilon_x = \pm 1$.

Initially: All ϵ_x arbitrary

Each round every chip moves one position

If $2a$ at x then a to $x \pm 1$.

If $2a + 1$ at x then a to $x \pm 1$.

Then “odd” chip to $x + \epsilon_x$ and,

critically, reset $\epsilon_x \leftarrow -\epsilon_x$.

The L -machine

Chips infinitely divisible

If b at x then $\frac{1}{2}b$ to $x \pm 1$.

Chips at (x, t) is Expected Number if every chip takes Random Walk

Fix initial start, arrows

$P(x, t)$ chips at (x, t) in P -machine

$L(x, t)$ chips at (x, t) in L -machine

Theorem:

$$|P(x, t) - L(x, t)| \leq 3$$

Generalizations

Any bipartite graph G with Finite Degrees

Initially: All chips on “even” positions

Each x has arrow toward neighbor

For each x ordering of neighbors.

Put “extra” i chips to next i neighbors

And, critically, readjust arrow

Sometimes

$$|P(x, t) - L(x, t)| \leq K_G$$

JS/Cooper: Yes for Z^d

Outline of Argument (for Z)

Time backwards from T to zero

X_T, \dots, X_0 . $X_T = P(x, 0)$, $X_0 = L(x, 0)$.

X_t : Do P until t then L until zero

$$F(d, t) := \Pr[S_{t-1} = d - 1] - \Pr[S_t = d]$$

$$-F(d, t) = \Pr[S_{t-1} = d + 1] - \Pr[S_t = d]$$

$$X_{t-1} - X_t = \sum_d A(x - d, t)$$

$$A(x - d, t) \begin{cases} = 0 & \text{if even chips at } w \\ = F(d, t) & \text{if odd chips, } \rightarrow \\ = -F(d, t) & \text{if odd chips, } \leftarrow \end{cases}$$

$$X_0 - X_T = \sum_t \sum_w A(x - d, t) = \sum_w \sum_t A(x - d, t)$$

Fixing d

$F(d, t)$ unimodal, same sign

$$\Rightarrow |\sum_t A(x - d, t)| \leq \max_t |F(d, t)| = O(d^{-2})$$

$$X_0 - X_T \leq \sum_d O(d^{-2}) = O(1)$$