

**Exercise 1.** Prove the removal lemma for graphs, i.e., for every graph  $F$  on  $\ell$  vertices and every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exist  $\delta > 0$  and  $n_0$  such that every graph  $G$  on  $n \geq n_0$  vertices containing only  $\delta n^\ell$  copies of  $F$  can be made  $F$ -free by removal of at most  $\varepsilon n^2$  edges.

- How should an induced version of this statement look? Can you prove it (**very hard**)?
- Deduce the Ruzsa–Szemerédi–theorem from the removal lemma for  $F = K_3$ .

**Exercise 2.** Deduce Roth’ (second famous) theorem from the Ruzsa–Szemerédi–theorem.

**Exercise 3.** Prove that if  $G$  is an  $n$ -vertex graph which is the union of  $n$  induced matchings, then  $e(G) = o(n^2)$ . (A matching  $M \subset E(G)$  is induced in  $G$  if there is **no** edge  $xy$  in  $E(G) \setminus M$  such that  $xy \subset \bigcup_{e \in M} e$ .)

Deduce the Ruzsa–Szemerédi–theorem from this matching result.

**Exercise 4.** Prove that for every integer  $K$  and every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exist  $c > 0$  and  $n_0$  such that for every graph  $G_n$  ( $n \geq n_0$ ) there exists mutually disjoint sets  $V_1, \dots, V_k \subset V(G_n)$  such that for every  $1 \leq i < j \leq k$  the pair  $(V_i, V_j)$  is  $\varepsilon$ -regular and

- either  $d(V_i, V_j) < 1/2$  for **all**  $1 \leq i < j \leq k$
- or  $d(V_i, V_j) \geq 1/2$  for **all**  $1 \leq i < j \leq k$ .

**Exercise 5.** Show that for any graph  $L$  which is not a forest and any rooted tree  $T$  the following holds for sufficiently large  $n$ :

$$\text{ex}(n, L) = \text{ex}(n, M),$$

where  $M$  is obtained by attaching a copy of  $T$  to some vertex of  $L$ .

**Exercise 6.** Suppose  $G_n$  contains no copy of  $C_6$ . Show that  $G_n$  contains a subgraph with at least half of the edges which contains no  $C_4$ .

**Exercise 7.** Show that  $\text{ex}(n, C_4) = n^{3/2}/2 + o(n^{3/2})$ .

**Exercise 8.** Let  $\mathcal{L}$  be a finite family of graphs. Show that  $\text{ex}(n, \mathcal{L}) = o(n^2)$  iff  $\mathcal{L}$  contains a bipartite graph. Is the finiteness of  $\mathcal{L}$  needed?

**Exercise 9.** Show that for all integers  $2 \leq p \leq q$  there exist  $C > 0$ ,  $c > 0$  and  $n_0$  such that every graph  $G_n$  on  $n \geq n_0$  vertices with  $e(G_n) \geq Cn^{2-1/p}$  contains at least

$$c \left( \frac{e(G_n)}{n^2} \right)^{pq} n^{p+q}$$

copies of  $K_{p,q}$ .

**Exercise 10.** For integers  $k \geq 2$  and  $\ell$  let  $K^{(k)}(\ell)$  denote the complete  $k$ -partite  $k$ -uniform hypergraph with  $\ell$  vertices on each partition class. Show that

- there exist  $C > 0$  such that for sufficiently large  $n$  we have  $\text{ex}(n, K^{(k)}(\ell)) \leq Cn^{k-1/(\ell^{k-1})}$ ,
- for all integers  $k \geq 2$  and  $\ell$  and  $c' > 0$  there exist  $c > 0$  such that every sufficiently large  $n$ -vertex  $k$ -uniform hypergraph with  $c'n^k$  edges contains at least  $cn^{k\ell}$  copies of  $K^{(k)}(\ell)$ .